Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

Downloaded from https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines on 3/15/2020

Visit the AIDSinfo website to access the most up-to-date guideline.

Register for e-mail notification of guideline updates at https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/e-news.
Efavirenz (Sustiva, EFV)
(Last updated January 17, 2020; last reviewed January 17, 2020)

Animal Studies
Carcinogenicity
Efavirenz (EFV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of in vitro and animal in vivo screening tests. A study that evaluated the genotoxicity of EFV in mice noted DNA damage in brain cells after daily dosing for 36 days; no damage was seen in liver, heart, or peripheral blood cells.1 Long-term animal carcinogenicity studies with EFV have been completed in mice and rats. No increase in tumor incidence above background was observed in male mice at systemic drug exposures that were approximately 1.7-fold higher than the exposures seen in humans who received standard therapeutic doses. In female mice, an increase in tumor incidence above background was seen for hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas and pulmonary alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas. No increase in tumor incidence above background was observed in male and female rats with systemic EFV exposures that were lower than those seen in humans who received therapeutic doses.2

Reproduction/Fertility
EFV has had no observable effects on reproduction or fertility in rodents.2

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
An increase in fetal resorption was observed in female rats at EFV doses that produced peak plasma concentrations and area under the curve (AUC) values that were less than or equal to those achieved in humans who received the recommended dose of EFV 600 mg once daily. EFV produced no reproductive toxicities when given to pregnant rabbits at doses that produced peak plasma concentrations similar to those achieved in humans who received EFV 600 mg once daily. AUC values in these rabbits were approximately half of the values seen in humans who received EFV 600 mg once daily.2

Central nervous system malformations and cleft palate were observed in three of 20 infant monkeys born to pregnant cynomolgus monkeys that received EFV between gestational day 20 and gestational day 150 at a dose of EFV 60 mg/kg per day. This dose resulted in plasma concentrations that were 1.3 times that of systemic human therapeutic exposure, with fetal umbilical venous drug concentrations that were approximately 0.7 times the maternal values.3 The malformations included anencephaly and unilateral anophthalmia in one fetus, microphthalmia in another fetus, and cleft palate in a third fetus.2

Placental and Breast Milk Passage
EFV readily crosses the placenta in rats, rabbits, and primates, producing cord blood concentrations that are similar to the concentrations observed in maternal plasma. Maternal and fetal blood concentrations in pregnant rabbits and cynomolgus monkeys are equivalent, while fetal concentrations in rats exceeded maternal concentrations.2

Human Studies in Pregnancy
Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacogenomics
In an intensive sampling pharmacokinetic (PK) study of 25 pregnant women who received EFV during the third trimester, EFV clearance was slightly increased and trough levels were decreased compared with levels measured postpartum.4 These differences are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant dose adjustment during pregnancy. A review of this study plus four others that measured EFV concentrations in pregnant women found that EFV concentrations were not significantly affected by pregnancy and that high rates of HIV RNA suppression at delivery were achieved with EFV-based regimens.5

In a PK study of 42 pregnant women who received EFV 600 mg once daily, EFV exposure was similar during pregnancy and postpartum. EFV PK data were available for 15 women during their second trimester, 42 women during their third trimester, and 40 women postpartum. EFV AUC during the third trimester (60 mcg·h/mL) was similar to the AUC observed in nonpregnant adults (58 mcg·h/mL). EFV drug levels in the second
trimester were lower than postpartum values, but they remained within 80% to 125% of postpartum values. Viral loads at delivery were <400 copies/mL and <50 copies/mL for 96.7% and 86.7% of women, respectively.6

In a pharmacogenomics study, nonpregnant individuals with the cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2B6 516 TT genotype had >3-fold increases in both short-term and long-term EFV exposure, as measured by drug levels in plasma and hair. This suggests that drug levels could vary significantly with CYP2B6 polymorphisms.7 The frequency of this allele varies between different ethnic populations, with a prevalence of 3.4% in white people, 6.7% in Hispanic people, and 20% in African Americans.4

In an open-label, two-center study in the United Kingdom and Uganda, 25 pregnant women with HIV who were virally suppressed (defined as a viral load <50 copies/mL) on a regimen that included EFV 600 mg once daily had their dose reduced to EFV 400 mg in the third trimester. PK parameters, AUC, and plasma concentrations at 24 hours post-dose were slightly lower in the third trimester than during the postpartum period but generally remained within the therapeutic range; all participants maintained viral suppression.8

A PK modeling study was conducted using pooled data from seven studies of women who were taking regimens that included EFV. The study included an analysis of 1,968 PK samples, 774 of which were collected during pregnancy. This analysis predicted that the reduced EFV dose of 400 mg would generate median EFV AUC24h and C12h during the third trimester that were 91% and 87%, respectively, of the values observed among nonpregnant women.9

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a PK study of 42 pregnant women who received EFV 600 mg once daily, EFV readily crossed the placenta, and infant elimination half-life was over twice that of maternal participants. The cord blood-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio was 0.67 (range 0.36–0.95). Among 23 infants for whom washout data was available, median elimination half-life was 65.6 hours (interquartile range 40.6–129 hours). Viral loads at delivery were <400 copies/mL and <50 copies/mL for 96.7% and 86.7% of women, respectively.6

In a study of 25 mother-infant pairs, the median EFV cord blood-to-maternal-blood concentration ratio was 0.49 (range 0.37–0.74). In a study of 13 women in Rwanda, EFV was given during the last trimester of pregnancy and for 6 months after delivery.10 EFV concentrations were measured in maternal plasma, breast milk, and infant plasma. EFV concentration was significantly higher in maternal plasma than in skim breast milk (with a mean breast milk-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio of 0.54) and higher in skim breast milk than in infant plasma (with a mean skim breast-milk-to-newborn-plasma concentration ratio of 4.08). The mean infant plasma EFV concentration was 860 ng/mL, and the mean infant plasma EFV concentration was 13.1% of maternal plasma concentrations. All infants had detectable plasma concentrations of EFV, and eight of 13 newborns had plasma EFV concentrations that were below the minimum therapeutic concentration of 1,000 ng/mL that is recommended for treatment of adults with HIV.

In a study of 51 women in Nigeria who received EFV 600 mg once daily, the median milk-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio was 0.82 (range 0.51–1.1) and the median infant EFV concentration was 178 ng/mL (range 88–340 ng/mL).11 In a study of 56 mother-infant pairs in which the mothers received EFV-based therapy during pregnancy and breastfeeding, infant plasma drug concentration levels at delivery and hair drug concentration levels at age 12 weeks suggested moderate in utero transfer of EFV during pregnancy and breastfeeding, with approximately one-third of transfer occurring postpartum (40% cumulative transfer, with 15% of transfer occurring during breastfeeding).12 All mothers and infants had detectable EFV plasma levels at 0, 8, and 12 weeks, and mean infant-to-maternal-hair concentration at 12 weeks postpartum was 0.40 for EFV. No data are currently available about the safety and PKs of EFV in neonates.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In pregnancies with prospectively reported exposure to EFV-based regimens in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry through January 2019, birth defects were observed in 25 of 1,061 live births with first-trimester exposure (2.36%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.53% to 3.46%).13 Although these data provide sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to rule out a 1.5-fold or greater increase in the risk of overall birth
defects, the low incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) in the general population means that a larger number
of exposures are still needed to be able to definitively rule out an increased risk of this specific defect.
Prospective reports to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry of defects after first-trimester EFV exposure
have documented one NTD case (sacral aplasia, myelomeningocele, and hydrocephalus with fetal alcohol
syndrome) and one case of bilateral facial clefts, anophthalmia, and amniotic band syndrome. An undefined
abnormality of the cerebral vermis was seen on ultrasound and reported in 2014; however, during follow-up,
the parents have reported that the infant is developing normally, and they have also declined further testing.13
In a meta-analysis of 23 studies that was designed to update the 2013 World Health Organization (WHO)
guidelines for antiretroviral therapy (ART) in low- and middle-income countries, there were 44 infants with
birth defects among 2,026 live births to women who received EFV during the first trimester. The pooled
proportion of overall birth defects was 1.63% (95% CI, 0.78% to 2.48%).14 The rate of overall birth defects
was similar among women who received EFV-containing regimens and women who received regimens that
did not contain EFV during the first trimester (pooled relative risk [RR] 0.78; 95% CI, 0.56–1.08). Across all
births, one NTD (myelomeningocele) was observed, giving a point prevalence of 0.05% (95% CI, <0.01 to
0.28), which is within the range reported in the general population. However, the number of reported first-
trimester EFV exposures was insufficient to rule out a significant increase in low-incidence birth defects such
as NTDs. The incidence of NTDS in the general U.S. population is 0.06% to 0.07%.15
A French study of 13,124 live births between 1994 and 2010 included an analysis of 372 infants born
after first-trimester exposure to EFV.16 In the primary analysis, which used the European Surveillance of
Congenital Anomalies (EUROCAT) classification system, no increase in the incidence of birth defects was
detected among infants with first-trimester EFV exposure compared to those without exposure to EFV during
pregnancy (adjusted odds ratio 1.16; 95% CI, 0.73–1.85). In a secondary analysis that used the modified
Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defect Program classification used by the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry,
an association was found between first-trimester EFV exposure and neurologic defects. However, none of the
four defects that were reported during this study (ventricular dilatation with anomalies of the white substance,
partial agenesis of the corpus callosum, subependymal cyst, and pachygyria) were NTDs, and none of the
defects had similar embryologic origins.17
Recently, Zash et al. reported on the outcomes of a large birth surveillance study in Botswana. Among 7,959
deliveries to women who were taking EFV around the time of conception, there were three NTDs (0.04%; 95% CI, 0.01% to 0.11%), which is similar to the rate of NTDs that was observed among infants born to
89,372 women without HIV (0.08%; 95% CI, 0.06% to 0.10%).18 This study adds to available data on first-
trimester EFV exposures, providing strong evidence against an elevated risk of NTDs in infants who were
exposed to EFV.

The Food and Drug Administration advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and advises
health care providers to avoid administering EFV during the first trimester of pregnancy, as fetal harm may
occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception exposures to EFV from Botswana is sufficient
to rule out a ≥3-fold increased risk of NTDs with the use of EFV. As a result, the Perinatal Guidelines do not
restrict the use of EFV during pregnancy or in women who are planning to become pregnant; this is consistent
with the British HIV Association guidelines and WHO guidelines for use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs in
pregnancy, both of which note that EFV can be used throughout pregnancy.19-21 EFV should be continued
in pregnant women who are receiving a virologically suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug
changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal HIV
transmission.22

A recent report from the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) study of the Pediatric HIV/
AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) network detected an increased rate of microcephaly in HIV-exposed but
uninfected children with in utero EFV exposure. The relative risk of microcephaly in infants with in utero
EFV exposure was 2.56 (95% CI, 1.22–5.37). In this study, microcephaly was defined as a z-score of less than
-2 between 6 and 36 months of age or head size below the second percentile after 36 months.23 Only 4.7%
of children had been exposed to EFV in utero. The relative risk of microcephaly was higher among children
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who had been exposed to EFV plus zidovudine and lamivudine than among those who had been exposed to EFV plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine. Children with microcephaly had lower scores on neurodevelopmental assessments at ages 1 year and 5 years and a higher rate of neurodevelopmental impairment than those without microcephaly. Additional evaluation of the association between microcephaly and in utero EFV exposure is needed (see the Teratogenicity section).

Drug-Drug Interactions

PK interactions between EFV and some hormonal contraceptives have been reported; these interactions may lead to failure of the progesterone component of some contraceptives. This may potentially affect the efficacy of emergency contraception, combined oral contraceptive pills, progestin-only pills, and progestin implants.24-27 A retrospective chart review study suggests that EFV may decrease the efficacy of levonorgestrel implants (e.g., Jadelle).28 Pregnancy occurred in 15 of 115 women (12.4%) who were on EFV and using Jadelle; no pregnancies occurred among 208 women who were on nevirapine (NVP)-based regimens, and no pregnancies occurred among 13 women who were on lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r)-based regimens ($P < 0.001$) (see Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV). In a prospective clinical trial by Scarsi et al., three out of 20 Ugandan women (15%) became pregnant while receiving a combination of levonorgestrel and an EFV-based ARV regimen; pregnancy occurred between 36 and 48 weeks after the women began receiving this combination. When compared to ART-naive women, the women on EFV-based regimens had lower levonorgestrel PKs.29

P1026s evaluated the interaction between the etonogestrel-releasing implants and atazanavir/ritonavir-, LPV/r-, or EFV-based ARV regimens in postpartum women who chose an etonogestrel implant for contraception. There was no significant change in the concentration levels of the ARV drugs after insertion of the etonogestrel implant. However, of the three ARV drug regimens, the EFV-based regimen was associated with greatly decreased etonogestrel concentrations; these etonogestrel concentrations reached levels that could impair contraceptive efficacy.30 A nonrandomized parallel group study in Ugandan women with HIV characterized the PKs of etonogestrel released from a contraceptive implant. Women who were receiving either EFV-based regimens or NVP-based regimens were compared to women who were ART-naive. At 24 weeks, etonogestrel concentrations were 82% lower in women who were taking EFV than in ART-naive women. No significant changes in etonogestrel concentration were observed when etonogestrel was combined with NVP.31 An ACTG study (A5316) evaluated PK interactions between etonogestrel and ethinyl estradiol from a vaginal ring and EFV or ATV/r. When compared to ART-naive women, women in the EFV group had etonogestrel levels that were 76% to 79% lower and ethynyl estradiol plasma concentrations that were 53% to 57% lower over 21 days.32 Thus, women who are receiving EFV and using combined oral contraceptive pills, progestin-only pills, the contraceptive vaginal ring, or progestin implants should be informed of the possible decreased effectiveness of these contraceptive methods and strongly advised to also use barrier contraception.

Clinicians may consider the use of alternative contraceptive regimens that do not have reduced efficacy when used concomitantly with EFV. A study that evaluated the interaction between EFV and depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) in 17 women found no change in the PK profile of either EFV or DMPA with concomitant use.33 DMPA levels remained above the level needed for inhibition of ovulation throughout the dosing interval. In addition, intrauterine devices (both copper-containing and levonorgestrel-containing devices) would be expected to maintain efficacy when used with EFV-based regimens.
Excerpt from Table 8

Note: When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of the individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efavirenz (EFV)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustiva</strong> (EFV/FTC/TDF) <strong>Atripla</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symfi (EFV/3TC/TDF)</strong> <strong>Symfi Lo</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Note:</strong> Generic products are available for some formulations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Standard Adult Doses**

**EFV (Sustiva):**
- EFV 600 mg once daily at or before bedtime
- Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.

**EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla):**
- One tablet once daily at or before bedtime
- Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.

**EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo):**
- One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime

**Pregnancy**

**PKs in Pregnancy:**
- AUC is decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but nearly all third-trimester participants exceeded target exposure.

**Dosing in Pregnancy:**
- No change in dose is indicated.

For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, FTC, TDF)

**Moderate placental transfer to fetus.**

The FDA advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and advises health care providers to avoid administration during the first trimester of pregnancy, as fetal harm may occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception EFV exposures from Botswana rules out a ≥3-fold increased risk of NTDs. As a result, the current Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnant women or in women who are planning to become pregnant. This is consistent with both the British HIV Association and WHO guidelines for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy.

EFV should be continued in pregnant women who are on a virally suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal transmission (see Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).

---

**a** Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

**b** Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>&gt; 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0.3–0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>&lt; 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**d** Generic product is available.

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; EFV = efavirenz; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; NTDs = neural tube defects; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; WHO = World Health Organization

---
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